

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,668	12/16/2003	Dov Moran	246/234	2836
7590 08/01/2007 DR. MARK FRIEDMAN LTD. C/o Bill Polkinghorn			EXAMINER	
			NORRIS, JEREMY C	
Discovery Dispatch 9003 Florin Way Upper Marlboro, MD 20772		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2841	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/735,668	MORAN, DOV
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Jeremy C. Norris	2841
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
WHIC - Exter after: - If NO - Failur Any r	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timulated and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		·	• •
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Dispositi	on of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 10,11,15,17,20,21 and 23 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) 10,11 and 20 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 15,17,21 and 23 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Applicati	on Papers		
10) 🖾 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 December 2003</u> is/al Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction to the other coath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
A44 1			
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Po 6) Other:	ite

Application/Control Number: 10/735,668

Art Unit: 2841

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12 March 2007 has been entered.

Double Patenting

Applicant is advised that should claim 15 be found allowable, claim 23 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 15, 17, 21 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 6,097,086 (Crane).

Application/Control Number: 10/735,668

Art Unit: 2841

The Examiner notes that it has been held that the recitation that an element is "for" performing a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. *In re Hutchison*, 69 USPQ 138.

Crane discloses, referring primarily to figure 78, an electronic module, comprising: (a) electronic circuitry (11); (b) a first electrical connection mechanism (17), directly operationally connected to said electronic circuitry, for mounting of the electronic module by a first method; and (c) a second electrical connection mechanism (40), directly operationally connected to said electronic circuitry, for mounting of the electronic module by a second method different from said first method; wherein mounting using only one of said connection mechanisms suffices to render the electronic module fully operational (col. 36, lines 15-30) [claim 15].

Additionally, Crane discloses, an electronic module, comprising: (a) electronic circuitry (11); (b) a first connection mechanism (40), operationally connected to said electronic circuitry, for mounting of the electronic module on a printed circuit board by robotic mounting; and (c) a second connection mechanism (17), operationally connected to said electronic circuitry, for mounting of the electronic module on a printed circuit board by manual mounting; wherein mounting using only said first connection mechanism suffices to render the electronic module fully operational; and wherein mounting using only said second connection mechanism suffices to render the electronic module fully operational [claim 17].

Art Unit: 2841

Also, Crane discloses, an electronic module, comprising: (a) electronic circuitry (11); (b) a first connection mechanism (17), operationally connected to said electronic circuitry, for mounting of the electronic module on a printed circuit board by a first method; and (c) a second connection mechanism (40), including at least one electrically conducting pad (not specifically referenced, but shown at the end of leads 40), and operationally connected to said electronic circuitry, for mounting of the electronic module on a printed circuit board by a second method different from said first method; wherein mounting using only said first connection mechanism suffices to render the electronic module fully operational; and wherein mounting using only said second connection mechanism suffices to render the electronic module fully operational [claim 21].

Similarly, Crane discloses, an electronic module, comprising: (a) electronic circuitry (11); (b) a first connection mechanism (17), directly operationally connected to said electronic circuitry, for mounting of the electronic module by a first method; and (c) a second connection mechanism (40), directly operationally connected to said electronic circuitry, for mounting of the electronic module by a second method different from said first method; wherein mounting using only one of said connection mechanisms suffices to render the electronic module fully operational (col. 36, lines 15-30) [claim 23].

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 10, 11, and 20 are allowed.

Art Unit: 2841

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Claim 20 states the limitation "wherein said second connection mechanism includes at least one electrically conducting pad". This limitation, in conjunction with the other claimed features, was neither found to be disclosed in, nor suggested by the prior art.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 13 March 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant alleges, regarding Crane, "the semiconductor die carrier of Figures (sic) 78 must be mounted using all its leads 18 and 40 to be fully operational" (emphasis original). However, this is not the intended function of the device of Crane. Crane specifically states that the device "particularly well suited to allow for the direct stacking of semiconductor die carrier packages" (col. 36, lines 25-30). It would be readily understood by the ordinarily skilled artisan that such a stack of these devices would result in at least the 'top' stacked device would be connected by only one of the connection mechanisms. Thus it naturally follows that the device only requires one of the connection mechanisms to be fully operational. Additionally, Crane discloses how the device may be used in a stack (col. 23, lines 1-25) and displays one such device (figure 40) connected only using one of the connection mechanisms (figure 41). Thus, the implication that the device of Crane cannot be rendered fully operational by connection using only one connection mechanism is not well founded. Hence, the traversal of the instant rejection on this ground is deemed unsuccessful.

Conclusion

All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeremy C. Norris whose telephone number is 571-272-1932. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9:30 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean Reichard can be reached on 571-272-1984. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2841

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jeremy C. Norris

Patent Examiner - Technology

Center 2800 Art Unit 2841

JCSN